UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		Philbr	ick H.H. Cook Case Number: <u>CR 11-00534-PHX-SRB</u>		
	ordance stablishe		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts (Check one or both, as applicable.)		
K	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendance pending trial in this case.				
⊠	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. PART I FINDINGS OF FACT				
K	(1)		The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal		
		_	se if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is		
		⊠	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.		
			an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.		
	(2)		he offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federatate or local offense.		
	(3)	A per impris	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from prisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.		
	(4)	reaso	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant I rebutted this presumption.		
			Alternative Findings		
	(1)	There	e is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
			for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in²		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)		
	(2)	The condi	defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of tions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
			Alternative Findings		
A	(1)	There	e is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure opearance of the defendant as required.		
X	(2)	No co	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threat a prospective witness or juror).		is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate spective witness or juror).		
	(4)				

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or

⁽c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

²Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

A	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
		Defendant has a prior serious, conviction for a crime of violence and escaped while under custody for that offense.
≱	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	X Î	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	Þ	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The d	lefendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
	In add	dition:
		Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the of the hearing in this matter.

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: September 2, 2011

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge